

[Attorney list on signature page]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC., HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING
AMERICA INC.,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
U.S.A.,

Defendants.

E-FILED - 10/4/07

Case No. C 05-00334 RMW

**STIPULATION AND []
ORDER RE MANUFACTURERS'
REQUEST TO EXCEED APPLICABLE
PAGE LIMIT FOR THEIR MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-
INFRINGEMENT AND INVALIDITY
OF THE FARMWALD PATENTS-IN-
SUIT**

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

Defendants.

Case No. C 05-02298 RMW

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON
SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. C 06-00244 RMW

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective counsel, that:

1. With respect to the motions for summary judgment dependent on claim construction that are due to be filed on October 5, 2007 in the above-captioned matters, the Manufacturers (i.e. the Hynix, Samsung, Nanya and Micron entities) may file a joint Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-infringement and Invalidity of the patents-in-suit that name Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz as inventors (the "Farmwald Patents-in-Suit"), not to exceed forty (40) pages in length; and

2. Rambus may file an opposition to that Motion, not to exceed forty (40) pages in length; and

3. The Manufacturers may file a reply to that Rambus opposition, not to exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length.

4. The Manufacturers will file no other motions for summary judgment due on October 5, 2007, regarding the Farmwald Patents-in-Suit.

5. This stipulation does not affect motions for summary judgment that the Manufacturers may file on the patents-in-suit that name Frederick Ware et al. as inventors or motions for summary judgment that Rambus may file.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: September 21, 2007

GEOFFREY H. YOST
KENNETH L. NISSLY
THELEN, REID, BROWN, RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
KENNETH R. O'ROURKE
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
THEODORE G. BROWN III
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By: /s/ Theodore G. Brown III
Theodore G. Brown, III

Attorneys for Defendants
HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC.,
HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING
AMERICA INC.

DATED: September 21, 2007

GREGORY P. STONE
STEVEN M. PERRY
PETER A. DETRE
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

PIERRE J. HUBERT
McKOOL SMITH PC

By: /s/ Peter A. Detre
Peter A. Detre
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RAMBUS INC.

DATED: September 21, 2007

ROBERT FREITAS
CRAIG KAUFMAN
VICKIE FEEMAN
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

By: /s/ Craig Kaufman
Craig Kaufman

Attorneys for Defendants
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION U.S.A.

1 DATED: September 21, 2007

MATTHEW POWERS
DAVID HEALEY
ANITA KADALA
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

2
3
4 By: /s/ David Healey
David Healey

5
6 Attorneys for Defendants
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG
7 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG
SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., SAMSUNG AUSTIN
8 SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P.

9 DATED: September 21, 2007

ROBERT J. BECHER
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES LLP
10 JARED BOBROW
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

11
12 By: /s/ Jared Bobrow
Jared Bobrow

13
14 Attorneys for Defendants
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and
15 MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.

16
17 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 DATED: October 4, 2007

By: *Ronald M. Whyte*
20 Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

21 61160548 v1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28